

## SHORT REPORT

# Feasibility of *Chlamydia trachomatis* screening and treatment in pregnant women in Lima, Peru: a prospective study in two large urban hospitals

Jeanne Cabeza,<sup>1</sup> Patricia J García,<sup>2</sup> Eddy Segura,<sup>1</sup> Pedro García,<sup>3</sup> Francisco Escudero,<sup>4</sup> Sayda La Rosa,<sup>2</sup> Segundo León,<sup>5,6</sup> Jeffrey D Klausner<sup>1</sup>

► Additional material is published online only. To view please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/sextrans-2014-051531>).

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

**Correspondence to**

Dr Jeanne Cabeza, Center for AIDS Research and Education (CARE), 9911 West Pico Blvd, Suite 955, Los Angeles, CA 90035, USA; [jeacabez@gmail.com](mailto:jeacabez@gmail.com)

Received 18 January 2014  
Revised 29 June 2014  
Accepted 19 July 2014

**ABSTRACT**

**Objectives** *Chlamydia trachomatis*, which is asymptomatic in most women, causes significant adverse effects for pregnant women and neonates. No programmes conduct antenatal screening in Latin America. We determined chlamydia prevalence, feasibility and acceptability of chlamydia screening, and adherence to treatment in pregnant women in two urban public hospitals in Lima, Peru.

**Methods** We offered chlamydia screening using self-collected vaginal swabs to pregnant women  $\geq 16$  years of age during their first antenatal visit. Chlamydia-infected women were contacted within 14 days and asked to bring partners for counselling and directly observed therapy with oral azithromycin. Unaccompanied women received counselling, directly observed therapy, and azithromycin to take to partners. Test of cure was performed  $\geq 3$  weeks after treatment.

**Results** We approached 640 women for the study and enrolled 600 (93.8%). Median age was 27.3 years (range 16–47), median lifetime partners 2.3 (range 1–50), and median gestational age 26.1 weeks (range 4–41). Chlamydia prevalence was 10% (95% CI 7.7% to 12.7%). Of 60 infected patients, 59 (98%) were treated with one dose of azithromycin. Fifty-two of 59 (88%) returned for test of cure, all of whom were treated successfully, with 46 (86%) achieving negative test of cure with one dose of azithromycin, and 6 (12%) after retreatment with a second dose.

**Conclusions** *C. trachomatis* screening and treatment in pregnancy was feasible and highly acceptable in two urban hospitals in Peru. Chlamydia prevalence was high. Clinical trials to evaluate efficacy and cost-effectiveness of chlamydia screening, and treatment of pregnant women to prevent adverse pregnancy outcomes in low-resource settings, are warranted.

*Chlamydia trachomatis*, the most common sexually transmitted bacterial infection worldwide, can cause significant adverse outcomes in pregnancy, including preterm birth, low birth weight, premature rupture of membranes, stillbirth, and miscarriage, as well as inclusion conjunctivitis and pneumonia in neonates.<sup>1</sup> No programmes routinely conduct *C. trachomatis* screening in antenatal care in Latin America, and there are no WHO recommendations for routine *C. trachomatis* screening and treatment in pregnant women. To prepare for a trial of *C. trachomatis* screening and treatment in pregnancy to reduce adverse pregnancy outcomes,

we explored the feasibility and acceptability of *C. trachomatis* screening in pregnant women during the first antenatal visit, and determined *C. trachomatis* prevalence and patient and partner outcomes to treatment in Lima, Peru.

**METHODS****Study design**

We conducted a prospective study in two large urban hospitals in Lima, Peru: Instituto Nacional Materno Perinatal (INMP) and Hospital Nacional Arzobispo Loayza (HNAL). INMP participants were recruited in January 2013; HNAL participants were recruited December 2012–January 2013.

During the recruitment period, all pregnant women attending their first antenatal visit were given a brief explanation by hospital midwives about risks of chlamydia infection during pregnancy and were told about the study. We focused on the first antenatal visit since women routinely have antenatal counselling and HIV/syphilis screening at this time. Women  $\geq 16$  years old who were interested in participating were screened for eligibility by research midwives and enrolled after providing informed consent. Consecutive women were recruited at the HNAL. Even-numbered women were recruited at the INMP (odd-numbered patients were recruited to another concurrently running research study). Women not mentally competent to understand informed consent were excluded; minors were required to have consent from parent or guardian to participate. The study protocol was approved by the institutional review or ethical boards at the University of California, Los Angeles Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, and each participating hospital.

Women provided self-collected vaginal swabs for chlamydial testing after being instructed on collection technique by the study midwife, and then completed a face-to-face questionnaire regarding demographic data, reproductive and medical history, and number of sexual partners.

Women who tested positive for chlamydial infection were asked to return to the hospital for counselling, and directly observed treatment with 1 g of oral azithromycin. They were given the option of bringing their partner(s) with them for counselling and directly observed concurrent treatment at the hospital, or of delivering 1 g azithromycin to the

**To cite:** Cabeza J, García PJ, Segura E, *et al.* *Sex Transm Infect* Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year] doi:10.1136/sextrans-2014-051531

partner at home. Approximately 3 weeks after treatment, infected women were contacted to provide a second self-collected vaginal specimen to perform a test of cure to document clearance of infection.

Testing was free, and we reimbursed women their transportation costs to return for treatment and for test of cure.

### Laboratory

Specimens were tested for *C. trachomatis* infection using the Aptima Combo2 system (Hologic, Gen Probe Incorporated, San Diego, California, USA) at the Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia Laboratory of Sexual Health in Lima, Peru.

### Data management and statistical analysis

Screening acceptability and *C. trachomatis* prevalence were calculated with 95% CIs. To test the association between categorical variables and *C. trachomatis* positivity, we used either  $\chi^2$  or Fisher's exact tests. All other numerical variables were assessed using the Mann-Whitney test. Individuals with missing data were excluded only from the affected analysis. We conducted all analysis using Stata V.12.1 (Stata Corporation, College Station, Texas, USA).

## RESULTS

### Participation rate

Of 640 pregnant women during the recruitment period who were informed about the study, three were excluded (due to high-risk pregnancy, unaccompanied minor status, or lack of intention to return to hospital). Of the remaining 637 eligible women, 600 (93.8%) enrolled: 333 (55.5%) from INMP and 267 (44.5%) from HNAL. The most common reasons given for not participating were lack of time ( $n=15$ ), fear of being tested ( $n=7$ ), and not considering the study important ( $n=7$ ). Five women did not give any reason, and three wanted to consult with family/friends before enrolling but never enrolled.

### Participant characteristics

Table 1 shows participant characteristics.

### *C. trachomatis* prevalence

*C. trachomatis* was identified in 60 study participants (10.0%; 95% CI 7.7% to 12.7%). Prevalence decreased with age; the youngest women (16–23 years) had the highest prevalence (15.6%), and older women ( $\geq 31$  years) had the lowest (5.2%). Prevalence was higher for single women than for women who were married or cohabiting, but was unrelated to lifetime number of sex partners, education level, or current vaginal symptoms.

### Treatment

Of the 60 *C. trachomatis*-positive patients, 59 (98.3%) received treatment. Fifty-five of 59 partners (93%) received treatment, 21 of them (36%) at the hospital, concurrently with the women, and 34 (58%) with medication brought home by the women.

Fifty-two (91%) treated women returned for test of cure. Forty-six tested negative (infection cured). Of the six who tested positive, indicating continuing infection, three had received concurrent therapy with their partners, two had brought treatment home, and one denied partner contact after treatment. All six were retreated, and subsequent tests of cure were negative.

## DISCUSSION

Chlamydial screening in pregnant women at two large urban hospitals in Lima was feasible and highly acceptable. All women who tested positive for chlamydia and returned for treatment and test of cure were successfully treated.

Our data regarding prevalence were consistent with previous research in Peru and globally, showing that the youngest women are most likely to be infected.<sup>1–2</sup> It is worth noting that in our study, prevalence was also high (5.1%) among women  $\geq 31$  years, an age category not generally included in screening programmes.

The participation rate for screening with self-administered vaginal swabs was high (93.8%), which is consistent with previous studies in high-income countries.<sup>3</sup> Use of self-administered vaginal swabs for nucleic acid amplification testing is a non-invasive diagnostic method that has sensitivity and specificity equivalent to provider-collected samples,<sup>4</sup> an advantage in resource-limited settings where there may be shortages of health personnel. Additionally, vaginal swabs are easier to transport, and are equally or more sensitive and specific for diagnosis of chlamydial infection than urine samples.<sup>4</sup>

One potential disadvantage of such molecular-based testing is the absence of laboratory testing capacity in low and middle-income settings. However, with the advent of HIV/AIDS RNA testing and the widespread introduction of molecular testing for tuberculosis in low-income and middle-income settings, that capacity is rapidly increasing.<sup>5</sup> Since results are not available at point-of-care, another drawback of such testing is the potential loss to follow-up, but as yet there exists no point-of-care testing with adequate sensitivity and specificity for chlamydia screening.<sup>6</sup>

*C. trachomatis* positivity at test of cure was 12%, similar to levels in previous studies of recurrent or persistent infections in women treated for genital chlamydia.<sup>7</sup> No significant resistance of *C. trachomatis* to azithromycin has been reported in the literature, but pharmacologic treatment failure, defined as persistent infection despite antibiotic use, may result from variations in drug absorption, metabolism, or host immune response.<sup>8</sup> False positive results in the test of cure may occur from persistence of residual DNA from non-viable chlamydia.<sup>3</sup> To avoid this problem, current treatment guidelines recommend waiting at least 3 weeks before repeating nucleic acid amplification testing,<sup>3</sup> although residual DNA may persist for longer periods.<sup>9</sup>

Although women were encouraged to bring partners to the hospital for treatment and counselling, nearly 60% chose to bring medication home to partners. This practice, known as patient-delivered partner therapy, is recommended by the US Centers for Disease Control as an alternative therapy for certain sexually transmitted diseases. This is an important consideration, since several studies in developing countries suggest that reliance on patient referral of partners for therapy is often ineffective.<sup>10</sup> More research is needed on the use of patient-delivered partner therapy for partner treatment in low-resource settings.

Our study had several limitations. Women were recruited only from large public hospitals in Lima, so results might not be generalisable to other settings, such as rural areas and mid-sized cities. The educational level in our sample was somewhat higher than average for metropolitan Lima, and since we have no demographic data on the women who chose not to participate, we cannot rule out the possibility that there may have been a selection bias such that women who are more educated were more likely to participate in the study. Despite these limitations,

**Table 1** Characteristics of the study participants, total and stratified by chlamydia tests (CT) lab result (n=600)

Characteristic	Total sample (n=600) n (%)	Result for CTs		p Value*
		Positive (n=60) n (%)	Negative (n=540) n (%)	
Age in years	27 (21–32)†	23 (20–38)	27 (22–33)	<0.05
Age categorised				
1st tertile (16–23)	212 (35.3)	33 (15.6)	179 (84.4)	<0.05
2nd tertile (24–30)	196 (32.7)	17 (8.7)	179 (91.3)	
3rd tertile (31–47)	192 (32.0)	10 (5.2)	182 (94.8)	
Education				
None/elementary	26 (4.3)	4 (15.4)	22 (84.6)	0.58
Some high school	363 (60.5)	37 (10.2)	326 (89.8)	
Some university/tech	211 (35.2)	19 (9.0)	192 (91.0)	
Partnership status				
Single/separated/widowed	116 (19.3)	20 (17.2)	96 (82.8)	<0.05
Married/cohabitating	484 (80.7)	40 (8.3)	444 (91.7)	
Parity				
First pregnancy	218 (36.3)	27 (12.4)	191 (87.6)	0.14
> Second pregnancy	382 (63.7)	33 (8.6)	349 (91.4)	
Gestational age in weeks				
First trimester (1–12)	94 (15.7)	11 (11.7)	83 (88.3)	0.60
Second trimester (13–27)	182 (30.3)	15 (8.2)	167 (91.8)	
Third trimester (28 and over)	324 (54.0)	34 (10.5)	290 (89.5)	
Sexual history				
Age at first intercourse	18 (16–19)†	17 (16–19)	18 (16–19)	0.10
Lifetime number of partners	2 (1–3)†	2 (1–3)	2 (1.3)	0.66
Prior diagnosis of syphilis	9 (1.5)	0 (0.0)	9 (100.0)	0.10
Prior diagnosis of HIV	3 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)	0.07
Condom use in last encounter	30 (5.0)	3 (10.0)	27 (90.0)	0.95
STD symptoms (current)‡				
Vaginal discharge	524 (87.3)	52 (9.9)	472 (90.1)	0.87
Genital wart	36 (6.0)	3 (8.3)	33 (91.7)	0.69
Genital ulcer	26 (4.3)	2 (7.7)	24 (92.3)	0.73
None	71 (11.8)	8 (11.3)	63 (88.7)	0.95
Positive for CT (test used in study)	60 (10.0)	60 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	NA
Positive for syphilis (chart review)	8 (1.3)	0 (0.0)	8 (100.0)	0.18
Positive for HIV (chart review)	3 (0.5)	0 (0.0)	3 (100.0)	0.69

For the total sample column, percentages are displayed along the column.

For the stratified analysis, percentages are displayed along the row.

\* $\chi^2$  test except for numeric variables marked with '†' where Mann–Whitney test was used.

†Median (IQR).

‡Could report more than 1 concurrent symptom.

NA, not applicable.

however, we believe that because our study was carried out in two national hospitals with large antenatal services, and because most women in Lima give birth in hospitals, our prevalence estimates, treatment acceptability, and risk factors are similar to those for the larger population of low-risk pregnant women in Lima.

*C. trachomatis* screening and treatment in pregnancy was feasible and acceptable in two large urban maternity hospitals in Lima, Peru. Partner treatment was also readily accepted. The prevalence of *C. trachomatis* infection was high. Given the strong associations between *C. trachomatis* in pregnancy and adverse pregnancy outcomes, a clinical trial to demonstrate the efficacy and cost-effectiveness of *C. trachomatis* screening and treatment in low-income and middle-income countries is urgently needed.

#### Author affiliations

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine/Division of Infectious Diseases, UCLA Geffen School of Medicine, Los Angeles, California, USA

<sup>2</sup>Unit of Epidemiology, STD and HIV, School of Public Health, Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia, Lima, Peru

<sup>3</sup>Instituto Nacional Materno Perinatal, Lima, Peru

<sup>4</sup>Hospital Nacional Arzobispo Loayza, Lima, Peru

<sup>5</sup>Department of Global Health, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA

<sup>6</sup>Instituto de Medicina Tropical, Universidad Mayor de San Marcos, Lima, Peru

**Handling editor** Jackie A Cassell

**Acknowledgements** We are grateful to Hologic Genprobe, San Diego, CA for donation of the Aptima Combo 2 assay kits used in the study. For their contribution to the study, we are indebted to research midwives Paola Pflucker, Carla Obregón, Vivian Mendoza, and Verónica Dioses and counsellor midwives Nancy Acosta and Soledad Rodriguez at the Instituto Nacional Materno Perinatal and Bertha Zavaleta at Hospital Nacional Arzobispo Loayza, as well as the staff at both hospitals and at Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia. Jeanne Cabeza was a research fellow in the South American Program in HIV Prevention Research (SAPHIR- funded by NIH R 25 MH087222) through the programme in Global Health at the David Geffen School of Medicine, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA and gratefully acknowledges the support she received from the staff in both Lima and Los Angeles. Finally, we thank the patients themselves for their participation, as without them, this study would not have been possible.

**Contributors** JC had full access to all the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data analysis. Study concept and design: JC, PJG, JDK. Study supervision: JC, PJG, PG, FE, JDK. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: JC, PJG, JDK, PG, FE. Drafting of the manuscript: JC, PJG, JDK. Administrative technical or material support: ES, SLR, SL. Statistical analysis ES.

**Funding** This research was supported by a grant (NIH R 25 MHO87222) from the National Institute of Mental Health.

**Competing interests** None.

**Ethics approval** UCLA (IRB #12-000914), UPCH (#60048), HNAL (105 CIEI), and INMP (DG N° 959).

**Provenance and peer review** Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Meyers DS, Halvorson H, Luckhaupt S. Screening for chlamydial infection: an evidence update for the U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:135–42.
- 2 Paul KJ, García PJ, Giesel AE, *et al.* Generation C: prevalence of and risk factors for *Chlamydia trachomatis* among adolescents and young women in Lima, Peru. *J Women's Health* 2009;18:1419–24.
- 3 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Sexually transmitted diseases treatment guidelines, 2010. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2010;59:1–116.
- 4 Association of Public Health Laboratories and Centers for Disease control and Prevention. Laboratory diagnostic testing for *Chlamydia trachomatis* and *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Expert consultation meeting summary report*. 13–15 January 2009, Atlanta, GA.
- 5 Modongo C, Zetola NM, Pandouri M, *et al.* Has the answer to diagnosing TB in resource-limited settings been found? *MLO Med Lab Obs* 2011;43:25.
- 6 Hislop J, Quayyum Z, Flett G, *et al.* Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost effectiveness of rapid point-of-care tests for the detection of genital chlamydia infection in women and men. *Health Technol Assess* 2010;14:1–97.
- 7 Whittington WL, Kent C, Kissinger P. Determinants of persistent and recurrent *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in young women. *Sex Transm Dis* 2001;28:117–23.
- 8 Wang SA, Papp JR, Stamm WE, *et al.* Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance and treatment failures for *Chlamydia trachomatis*: a meeting report. *J Infect Dis* 2005;191:917–23.
- 9 Williams J, Offner S, Batteiger B, *et al.* Duration of polymerase chain reaction-detectable DNA after treatment of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, and *Trichomonas vaginalis* infections in women. *Sex Transm Dis* 2014;41:215–9.
- 10 Alam N, Chamot E, Vermund S, *et al.* Partner notification for sexually transmitted infections in developing countries: a systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2010;10:19.

**STI**

## Feasibility of *Chlamydia trachomatis* screening and treatment in pregnant women in Lima, Peru: a prospective study in two large urban hospitals

Jeanne Cabeza, Patricia J García, Eddy Segura, Pedro García, Francisco Escudero, Sayda La Rosa, Segundo León and Jeffrey D Klausner

*Sex Transm Infect* published online August 8, 2014

---

Updated information and services can be found at:

<http://sti.bmj.com/content/early/2014/08/08/sextrans-2014-051531>

---

### Supplementary Material

Supplementary material can be found at:

<http://sti.bmj.com/content/suppl/2014/08/07/sextrans-2014-051531.DC1.html>

*These include:*

### References

This article cites 9 articles, 1 of which you can access for free at:

<http://sti.bmj.com/content/early/2014/08/08/sextrans-2014-051531#BIBL>

### Email alerting service

Receive free email alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up in the box at the top right corner of the online article.

---

### Topic Collections

Articles on similar topics can be found in the following collections

[Screening \(epidemiology\)](#) (870)  
[Screening \(public health\)](#) (870)  
[Drugs: infectious diseases](#) (2810)  
[Pregnancy](#) (432)  
[Reproductive medicine](#) (1207)  
[Chlamydia](#) (784)  
[Ophthalmology](#) (633)  
[Ethics of reproduction](#) (54)

---

### Notes

---

To request permissions go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions>

To order reprints go to:

<http://journals.bmj.com/cgi/reprintform>

To subscribe to BMJ go to:

<http://group.bmj.com/subscribe/>